

No. 82306-5

SUPREME COURT
OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

THE CITY OF MEDINA, a municipal corporation,

Petitioner (Respondent in Court of Appeals),

v.

ROGER L. SKINNER,

Respondent (Appellant in Court of Appeals).

PETITIONER'S STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL
AUTHORITIES

Greg A. Rubstello, WSBA #6271
Attorney for The City of Medina
OGDEN MURPHY WALLACE, P.L.L.C.
1601 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2100
Seattle, Washington 98101-1686
Tel: 206.447.7000/Fax: 206.447.0215

ORIGINAL

CLERK

RECEIVED
SUPREME COURT
STATE OF WASHINGTON
2009 DEC 22 AM 7:45
BY RONALD R. CARPENTER

ADDITIONAL AUTHORITIES


Mellish v. Frog Mountain Pet Care, et al, Slip Op. No. 37583-4-II
{December 15, 2009) is cited for the court's consideration on the issue of
whether or not the 30 day statute of limitation in RCW 41.12.090 is stayed
or tolled by the filing of a motion for reconsideration absent statutory or
local enabling legislation (ordinance) providing for a motion for
reconsideration and for an automatic stay of the 30 day appeal period; and
on the issue of whether or not the doctrine of substantial compliance
applies to service and filing requirements that invoke the appellate
jurisdiction of the superior court.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 18th day of December,
2009.

Respectfully submitted,

OGDEN MURPHY WALLACE, P.L.L.C.

By


Greg A. Rubstello, WSBA #6271
Attorney for Petitioner, The City of Medina